Strain induced incommensurate structures in vicinity of reconstructive phase transitions
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It is convenient to assign phase transitions to two main groups: (i) those where one of the phase structures (low symmetry) contains only symmetry elements belonging to the wider symmetry group of the other, parent one; and (ii) both neighboring phases contain symmetry elements absent in the group of the other one. The two above classes are termed as (i) preserving or (ii) breaking 'group–subgroup' relationships [1].

For the phase transitions (PTs) belonging to the first group, a very efficient symmetry based phenomenological Landau theory has been elaborated (see textbooks [2, 3]). By contrast, for reconstructive PTs from the second group, breaking group–subgroup correlations, until recently no unifying theoretical approach had been suggested. Consequently, experimental data on reconstructive PTs were typically discussed in view of particular properties of specific materials, but not emphasized common type anomalies, and without identifying features general for reconstructive PTs. However, it does not mean that the latter is a rare phenomenon—their occurrence in crystalline materials is on the same level as Landau ones. Numerous materials which are important for practical applications undergo reconstructive PTs, and the martensitic transformation in metals and alloys is a typical example [4, 5].

A unifying crystal-geometrical theory of reconstructive PTs was suggested in the late 1980s [6–8]. It has shown that for specific periodic sublattice shifts Δ along certain symmetric directions, new symmetry elements arise which break the group–subgroup relation. Thus, significant shifts can bring the crystal lattice, via reconstructive PT, to a new, non-group-subgroup related phase. Displacive mechanisms allow, therefore, to describe reconstructive PT using the ‘transcendental’ order-parameter (OP) η defined as a periodic function of the critical atomic displacements Δ, and, consequently, to obtain a periodic thermodynamic potential $F[\eta(\Delta)]$. More details can be found in [1]. The minimization of $F[\eta(\Delta)]$ with respect to Δ yields two types of stable phases: (a) ‘Landau’ phases ($\partial F[\eta(\Delta)]/\partial \eta = 0$) which are group–subgroup related to the parent phase symmetry, and (b) ‘limit’ or ‘non-Landau’
phases ($\partial \eta / \partial \Delta = 0$) which have no group–subgroup relation to the parent structure and coincide with the extremes of $\eta (\Delta)$.

On one hand, this approach [1] was successfully applied to reconstructive PTs in some elements and compounds (see, e.g. [1, 9–11]). The specific topology of their P-T phase diagrams, the stability domains of the both non-Landau reconstructive phases and Landau ones, and the corresponding transition anomalies were explained in the framework of few unified phenomenological models.

On the other hand, diffraction experiments inside the premartensitic regions in some alloys undergoing reconstructive PTs (for instance, NiTi [12, 13] or NaAl [14, 15]) revealed anomalous superstructure reflections in vicinity of Bragg peaks: their incommensurate $q$-vectors have no inversion center, and its arrangement depends on the number of the corresponding Brillouin zone (BZ). Thus, the diffraction pattern does not correspond to a conventional periodic incommensurate structure. The phenomenological approach was typically employed in order to reveal the origin of such satellites [16, 17]. It accounted for specific features of certain compounds [18, 19], but neglected those distinguishing reconstructive PTs and Landau type ones. The phenomenological models typically discussed premartensitic nucleation on defects [15, 20, 21], or considered martensite plates with a logical models typically discussed premartensitic nucleation on defects [15, 20, 21], or considered martensite plates with a

diagram to date. The general symmetry based approach [1–3], however, predicts no such phases.

In this Letter we extend the approach [1] by accounting for the elastic properties of the crystal lattice. It enables us to reveal a universal scenario for the onset of incommensurate phases, induced by the reconstructive PTs. We will show its general thermodynamic origin based on the example of the low-temperature martensitic transformation in the alkali metals. We will also briefly address the nature of complex structures observed recently in elemental crystals under high pressure.

The crystal-geometrical approach to reconstructive PT [1] considers the latter as a uniform transformation of a parent crystal lattice to a distorted one; the corresponding atomic displacements are mapped as shifts of the dimensionless points. Evidently, the consideration is missing inter-atomic interactions which are responsible particularly for the general capability of the crystal lattice undergoing deformations. Another specific point is that geometrical pathways at reconstructive PTs require sublattice shifts comparable to inter-atomic distances. One concludes therefore that, by analogy to the classic problem of the theoretical crystal strength [24], any advanced approach to reconstructive PTs should account for possible appearance of inhomogeneous structures. A realistic thermodynamic model (i) should deal with a thermodynamic potential density; (ii) the latter should contain not only the primary OP periodic contributions but also contributions of the strain field, including those induced by the non-uniform OP distribution.

Our unified approach can be introduced, without losing generality, through the example of the martensitic reconstructive PT BCC-9R ($Im-3m(Z = 1)$ to $R-3m(Z = 3)$) which occurs in Li ($TM \sim 77$ K), Na ($TM \sim 35$ K) and, presumably, K metals [25]. The primary symmetry breaking BCC-9R mechanism relates to the twelve-dimensional irreducible representation $\tau_3(k_4)$, with $k_4 = \frac{(2, \pi, 0)}{3\pi}$. One finds that the corresponding thermodynamic potential contains ‘mixed’ 5th-degree invariants which couple the OP and space derivatives $\nabla_\ell$ (irreducible representation $F_{1u}$) to shear strain tensor components $u_{\ell k}$ ($F_{2g}$) or to the symmetry-adopted $\varepsilon_1 = (u_{xx} - u_{xy})\sqrt{2}$ and $\varepsilon_2 = (u_{xx} + u_{yy} - 2u_{zz})\sqrt{6}$ ($E_\ell$):

$$
\begin{align*}
E_1 &= u_{xy} \cdot \nabla_\ell [12\eta^2 - 3\eta_2^2 - 3\eta_2 \eta_1^2 + \eta_1^2 + \eta_2^2 - 3\eta_2^2 \eta_1 - 3\eta_2^2 + \eta_1^2] \\
&+ (12\eta^2 - 3\eta_2^2 \eta_1 + \eta_1^2 + \eta_2^2 - 3\eta_2^2 \eta_1 - 3\eta_2^2 + \eta_1^2) + \ldots \\
E_2 &= \left. \frac{1}{6} \right] \\
\end{align*}
$$

In order to demonstrate their generic structure, one of four invariants is displayed in (1), the others appear in an effective form below. Only the first characteristic terms are shown in (1), the others can be produced by permuting subscript indices.

In the literature, the identification of reconstructive PTs so far mainly underlined the break of the group–subgroup relationship between symmetries of the parent and non-Landau phases. However, little attention has been paid to the less evident fact that the purely geometrical conditions exist, and they control, in the non-Landau phase, the values of the OP and spontaneous strain components so that their magnitudes are independent of external parameters (temperature, pressure etc) [1]. Therefore, the effective degree of the invariants of type (1) in the thermodynamic potential lowers, and this latter dramatically increases the impact of high-order mixed gradient terms.

The symmetry change $Im-3m$ to $R-3m$ requires for the twelve-component OP ($\eta_1, i = 1 \div 12$) satisfying in the 9R phase condition:

$$
\eta_1 = \eta_2 = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_j = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad j = 3 \div 12,
$$

where the OP equilibrium value $\eta_0 = \text{const}$. Taking into account that the spontaneous strain components $u_{0i}$ and $\varepsilon_{0i}$ are also constant in 9R [10], one immediately realizes that the corresponding coupling invariants assume the form similar to the proper Lifshitz invariant:

$$
\begin{align*}
E'^{\text{eff}}_1 &= u^0_{xy} \cdot \nabla_\ell [12\eta^2_1 - 3\eta_2^2 \eta_1 + \eta_1^2 + \eta_2^2 - 3\eta_2^2 \eta_1 - 3\eta_2^2 + \eta_1^2] \\
&+ \left. \frac{1}{6} \right] \\

E'^{\text{eff}}_2 &= \left. \frac{1}{6} \right] \\
\end{align*}
$$

We stress that, in contrast to Landau type PTs, the above reduction does not lead to a necessary small size of the renormalized coupling constants generally. Then one can write down an effective thermodynamic density in the form ($\eta_1 = \eta_2 = \eta$):

$$
\begin{align*}
\end{align*}
$$
\[ F[\eta, u_k] = F_1[\eta] + F_2(u_k) + F_3[\nabla \eta] + F_4(\eta, u_k \nabla \eta). \] (4)

The \( F_1[\eta(\Delta)] = a_1 \eta^2 + a_2 \eta^4 + a_3 \eta^6 \) term is a non-equilibrium contribution of the primary OP. The OP is a periodic function of critical displacements \( \Delta \) of corresponding atomic layers along the \([-1 1 0]_{BCC} \) direction, e.g. \( \eta(\Delta) = \eta_0 \sin(3\pi \Delta/a \sqrt{2}) \) [10]. \( F_2 \) is the elastic strain energy; it includes important improper terms coupling the primary OP \( \{ \eta \} \) to shear strain tensor components \( F_2^{\text{eff}} \approx (\eta_i^2 + \eta_j^2) \cdot u_{ij} \), and to its diagonal components \( F_2^{\text{eff}} \approx (\eta_i^2 + \eta_j^2) \cdot (\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2) \). \( F_3[\nabla \eta] \) accounts for the conventional OP gradient contribution. Finally, \( F_4 \), being proportional to \( F_4^{\text{eff}} \) (3), is a coupling energy of the non-uniform OP distribution and a strain field. It should be specially noticed that the proper Lifshitz gradient invariant does not appear in (1) due to the symmetry restrictions. Nevertheless, the above symmetry adopted terms linear in the OP gradient ensure appearance of the stable incommensurate phase. The latter can be driven either by spontaneous strains, or by the deviatoric stresses due to the non-hydrostatic compression conditions.

Already the equilibrium conditions (2) and the form of the inhomogeneous energy contributions (3) allow us to predict some crystal-geometrical characteristics for the strain-induced incommensurate phase. The equation (2) identifies the atomic plane \([1 1 0]_{BCC}\) as becoming a hexagonal close-packed \((001)_{LRG}\), and directs new three-fold axis along \([1 1 0]_{BCC}||[0 0 1]_{OR}\) [10]. The coupling terms in \( F_2 \) predict that the spontaneous strains \( \eta_{1,2} \) and \( \epsilon_{1,2} \) should be induced in a new structure. The former, according to \( F_1^{\text{eff}} \) may impose, in the rhombohedral phase, incommensurate structure modulation along the \([0 0 1]_{BCC} \) direction, while the latter (see \( F_2^{\text{eff}} \)) does the same in the \([1 1 0]_{BCC}||[0 0 1]_{LRG} \) direction.

To identify the incommensurate structure in detail, one has to solve not simply algebraic but rather the partial differential equations of state coming from the functional (1), with certain boundary conditions. Generally very difficult, the problem can be solved in some special cases. In particular, if the strain distribution is approximately uniform, a triple sine-Gordon equation should be solved.

Its simplest solutions describing 1D incommensurate phases, read:

\[ x = \int_{x_0}^{\Delta} \frac{du}{\sqrt{u(1 - u^2)(E + a_1 u - a_2 u^2 - a_3 u^4)}}. \] (5)

where \( x_0 \) and \( E \) are the integration constants.

Possible incommensurate phases are given by inverting the hyperelliptic integral \( x = x(\Delta) \). Generally, it yields several periodic solutions, each defined by the couple of adjacent real roots of the denominator zeroes in (5) keeping the positive radical. The period of each incommensurate structure is given by the numerical value of (5), where the high and low integration limits are equated to the chosen roots. Minimizing the potential (4), one finds the relevant solution \( \Delta(x)^2 \). It depends on the phenomenological parameters \( a_1 \) and \( E \), and the magnitude of the uniform strain component coupled to the OP gradient. The component plays a role of the chemical potential for solitons in incommensurate phase, and it controls stability limits of the latter. Notice that onset and evolution of the strain induced incommensurate phase at reconstructive PT shows a clear analogy to the formation of soliton superstructures at the Landau type PT when the proper Lifshitz invariant is allowed [3]. The only new mathematical feature is that for reconstructive PT the full set of periodic solutions contains few distinct branches corresponding to different root couples, the solutions are hyperelliptic integrals. These are rare in problems in physics, while elliptic ones are common (see, however, note 4). Concerning the physics, the difference is much more remarkable as the existence of such branches reflects the possibility of PTs between different incommensurate phases. The analysis of such sequential transformations observed, for example, in Eu [27] could be an exciting topic itself; however, it is beyond the subject of the present communication.

Another scenario comes into play if, after elimination of inhomogeneous strains, the sign of the renormalized coefficient at the OP quadratic gradient invariant becomes negative. Then in the vicinity of a continuous transition to the incommensurate phase, the corresponding linearized equations of state assume the same form as those near the Lifshitz point at the Landau type PT. Nevertheless, the fully developed incommensurate structure is different since the thermodynamic potential at reconstructive PT is more complex than the ones describing the conventional Landau’s incommensurate phases.

It is worth comparing our approach to the already existing models of inhomogeneous intermediate states observed at reconstructive PTs. In the framework of traditional crystal-geometrical models of strongly discontinuous martensitic transformations, the origin of anomalous satellites was attributed to the stacking faults accommodating the distortions of twinned martensite plates to the austenite matrix [22]. Polynomial expansion by strains is often used as a thermodynamic potential for crystals undergoing martensitic transformations (see, e.g. [28]). It corresponds actually to the case of proper ferroelastic PT of the Landau type. In this case inhomogeneous structures are considered to be induced by defects. Following a similar strategy, i.e. inventing an analog of improper ferroelastic PT, a model was used in [16, 17] whereby a phonon mode, corresponding to atomic layer shuffling at martensitic transition, is a primary OP which is coupled to the secondary uniform strains. One notices that both approaches are missing essential features of reconstructive PT and their OP. The former approach fully neglects the contribution of the actual OP in the thermodynamic potential (4) and the free energy is minimized only with respect to the strain field components. Obviously, it allows one to determine the mesoscopic structure morphology in the phase coexistence.

---

4 Interesting to note that the mathematical problem of inverting hyperelliptic integrals was solved already in 19th century by efforts of such distinguished mathematicians as K G J Jacobi, B Riemann and K Weierstrass. However, until recently, the only known example of its application in physics was provided by S Kovalevskaya who solved, in 1888, the problem of the asymmetric solid body rotating around a fixed axis. Few years ago the method was applied to describe a particle motion in the multidimensional gravity field (e.g. near the ‘black hole’) [26].

5 See footnote 4.
region only if the contribution of the elastic energy is dominant in the potential. The latter approach suffers because of the crude approximation of the displacement field $\Delta(x)$ by a phonon in a BZ point with rational coordinates, defined by a commensurate structure of the martensite phase. This is irrelevant to the case, as generally the spatial dependence of $\Delta(x)$ minimizing periodic thermodynamic potential at reconstructive PT cannot be described as a ‘frozen’ phonon. In particular, the fact has been confirmed for Li experimentally [29]. Moreover, the unit cell parameters of the Li lattice in austenite ($Im-3 m$) and martensite ($R-3m$) phases allow a practically perfect coherent habit-plane interface between the parent BCC structure and an unfaulted 9R phase. It would be logical to conclude that a simple crystal-geometrical approach is an adequate tool, while martensitic transformation could occur via sidewise growth of the perfect martensite plates. However, instead of the latter, a mosaic of irregular martensite segments arises showing the presence of highly faulted or disordered polytype structures. It should be mentioned that the authors of [29] used high-purity samples while in experiments with Li-based solid solutions no similar close packed polytypes were observed [30]. It appears to reveal the inherent character of the polytype formation mechanism, while impunity suppresses the process. Thus, it is natural to believe that it is the existence of the above improper Lifshitz invariants that cause the instability of 9R with respect to complex polytype structures.

It would be worthwhile also to revisit the results of neutron diffraction studies of single crystalline potassium. The corresponding patterns were obtained, analyzed in detail, and discussed in late 1980s (see, e.g. [31–34]). On one hand, weak superstructure satellites of (0.995, 0.975, 0.015) type were suggested by the authors of [31] to indicate the beginning of a BCC-9R transformation. On the other hand, in [32–34], it was interpreted as charge density wave satellites. The contradiction was not unambiguously resolved, while the above conclusion on stability of the complex incommensurate structure induced by the secondary elastic OP might provide a new understanding of the experimental data. Indeed, the directions of incommensurate modulations concluded from minimization of the inhomogeneous energy contributions $I_{Ec}$ and $I_{Ec}$ correspond fairly well to those observed in neutron scattering experiments.

General symmetry requirements imposed to OP which imply the mixed coupling term $\{\eta_i \nabla u_k \nabla \eta_i\}$ should be derived following standard group theoretical procedures (see, e.g. [2]). Comparing to the symmetry restrictions on the Lifshitz invariants, selection rules for the new gradient terms are less restrictive. Indeed, the symmetry properties of the conventional Lifshitz invariants $\{\eta_i \nabla \eta_i\}$ are described by the tensorial product of the antisymmetrized square $\{\eta_i^2\}$ of the OP representation and of the vector representation spanned by the coordinates $x_i$. For such term to be invariant, the antisymmetrized square of the OP should contain the vector representation $V$: $\{\eta_i^2\} \supset V$. In the above case of reconstructive PTs, the gradient operator $\nabla$, spanning $V$, transforms to $u_{ik} \nabla$, and the corresponding representation is a direct product of the one, $\{U_{ik}\}$, spanned by the components of the symmetric second-rank tensor and $V$. The above condition transforms to $\{\eta_i^2\} \supset V \otimes \{U_{ik}\}$. The product, in the general case, is a reducible representation, and $\{\eta_i^2\}$ ($n \geq 2$) can contain any of its irreducible parts.

For example, in the cubic point group $m-3m$, irreducible representation $F_{1u}$ is the vector one, and it has to be present in the expansion of $\{\eta_i^2\}$. However, the operator $u_{ik} \nabla$ transforms as $F_{2g} \otimes F_{1u} = A_{2u} \oplus E_u \oplus F_{1u} \oplus F_{2u}$, and any of four latter representations, but not exclusively $F_{1u}$, can be in $\{\eta_i^2\}$. It is worth noting that the above condition formally forbids the existence of the coupling invariants but does not forbid totally the onset of an incommensurate phase, since the latter can arise due to energetic but not symmetry reasons.

Generalizing, one can predict, for reconstructive PTs whose OPs allow high-order invariant $\{\eta_i^2 u_{ik} \nabla \eta_i\}$, a tendency for incommensurate phase to occur under the application of large stresses, or in a coexistence range of strain-dominant martensitic phase, or its onset in plastically distorted crystals. It is promoted by the increase, in above cases, of impact of the mixed terms responsible for the formation of strain induced inhomogeneous structures.

The widespread extremely complex structures recently discovered in elemental crystals under high pressure (see review papers [35, 36]) seem to be a good example of the trend. In particular, analyzing the exciting case of the Ba metal, one finds, first of all, that it undergoes the classical reconstructive phase transition BCC-HCP ($Im-3m$ – P63/mmc) at $P_\text{cr} = 5.5$ GPa. Then, at $P_1 = 12$ GPa, it adopts a very complex structure containing hundreds atoms per unit cell. Moreover, the corresponding so-called BaIV phase actually has a sequence of several different complex inhomogeneous structures [23]. Applying the above selection rules to the OP for the BCC-HCP transformation, which spans irreducible representation $\tau_{3g}(k_0)$ of the $Im-3m$ space group, one finds that its thermodynamic potential does contain the coupling terms of the type (3) but the proper Lifshitz invariant is not allowed. This example supports our conjecture that many high-pressure complex phases should be treated as incommensurate ones induced by the improper Lifshitz invariants. It is worthwhile mentioning that this idea is not totally new (a suggestion to consider the specific structure Ga-II, assumed to contain 104 atoms/unit cell [37] as an incommensurate structure was published recently [38]) but now it also finds strong general symmetry and thermodynamic arguments.

It is worth recalling that both the form of allowed gradient invariants and the structure of possible incommensurate phases is controlled by the symmetry of the stress tensor. Consequently, the distribution of diffraction superstructure satellites depends on the compression conditions: the arrangement of satellites obtained in hydrostatic conditions should be different from the one observed at any deviation from hydrostaticity, whatever induces it. In any case, more experimental and theoretical efforts are needed in order to shed light on the origin of incommensurate phases related to reconstructive
PTs, in general, and to disclose the nature of the complex structures observed in elemental crystals under high pressure, in particular.

Summarizing, we emphasize that the approach developed in the paper is model free. It is symmetry based and addresses the fundamental properties of reconstructive PTs. Both the periodic dependence of OP $\gamma(\Delta)$, and the existence of effective improper Lifshitz invariants in the corresponding thermodynamic potential, are controlled by the crystal symmetry. It does not depend on the material and is equally applicable to metals, semiconductors or insulators. Due to weak symmetry restrictions for mixed gradient invariants, relevant to reconstructive TP's, the strain induced incommensurate phases seem to be more probable than classical Landau's ones. The general symmetry arguments are aiming at encouraging the systematic construction of improper Lifshitz invariants and carrying out first-principal calculations of the coupling constants for crystals undergoing reconstructive phase transitions.
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