Faraday Discussions

Accepted Manuscript



This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the Information for Authors.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: A. L. Bugaev, O. A. Usoltsev, A. A. Guda, K. A. Lomachenko, M. Brunelli, E. Groppo, R. Pellegrini, A. V. Soldatov and J. van Bokhoven, *Faraday Discuss.*, 2020, DOI: 10.1039/C9FD00139E.



www.rsc.org/faraday_d

View Article Online View Journal

Faraday Discussions

PAPER



araday Discussions Accepted Manu

Hydrogenation of ethylene over palladium: Evolution of the catalyst structure by operando synchrotron-based techniques

Aram L. Bugaev,^{*a,b} Oleg A. Usoltsev,^a Alexander A. Guda,^a Kirill A. Lomachenko,^c Michela Brunelli,^d Elena Groppo,^e Riccardo Pellegrini,^f Alexander V. Soldatov,^a Jeroen A. van Bokhoven^{g,h}

Palladium-based catalysts are exploited in the industrial scale for selective hydrogenation of hydrocarbons. Formation of palladium carbide and hydride phases under reaction conditions changes the catalytic properties of the material, which points to the importance of *operando* characterization for determining a relation between the relative fraction of the two phases and the catalyst performance. We present a combined time-resolved characterization by X-ray absorption spectroscopy (in both near-edge and extended regions) and X-ray diffraction of a working palladium-based catalyst during hydrogenation of ethylene in a wide range of partial pressures of ethylene and hydrogen. Synergistic coupling of multiple techniques allowed us to follow the structural evolution of palladium. Nanometric dimensions of the particles resulted in the considerable contribution of both surface and bulk carbides to the absorption spectra. During reaction, palladium carbide is formed, which does not lead to a loss of activity. Unusual contraction of the unit cell parameter of palladium lattice in a spent catalyst was observed upon increasing hydrogen partial pressure.

Received 6th December 2019, Accepted XXth XXX 2020

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

- ^{a.} The Smart Materials Research Institute, Southern Federal University, Sladkova 178/24, 344090, Rostov-on-Don, Russia Email: abugaev@sfedu.ru
- ^{b.} Southern Scientific Centre, Russian Academy of Sciences, Chekhova 41, 344006 Rostov-on-Don, Russia
- ^{c.} BM23/ID24, European Synchrotron Radiation Facility, 71 avenue des Martyrs, 38043 Grenoble Cedex 9, France
- ^{d.} DUBBLE CRG at the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility, Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO), 71 Avenue des Martyrs, 38042 Grenoble Cedex 9, France
- e. Department of Chemistry and NIS Interdepartmental Centre, University of Turin, via P. Giuria 7, 10125 Turin, Italy
- ^{f.} Chimet SpA Catalyst Division, Via di Pescaiola 74, 52041 Viciomaggio Arezzo, Italy
- ^{g.} Institute for Chemical and Bioengineering, ETH Zurich, Vladimir-Prelog-Weg 1, 8093 Zurich, Switzerland
- ^{h.} Laboratory for Catalysis and Sustainable Chemistry, Paul Scherrer Institute, 5232 Villigen, Switzerland
- + Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available [Scheme of the gas setup, Summary of experimental conditions,
- XRPD analysis, XANES spectra, Results of PCA and SIMPLIMA analysis of XANES]. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

σ

Accepted

ູ

V DISCUSSIO

arada

1.

1. Introduction

Palladium-based catalysts are extensively used in industrial applications to "selectively hydrogenate multiply unsaturated hydrocarbons, such as diolefins, alkynes, and the alkyne/alkene mixtures.¹⁻³ In particular, ethylene polymerization requires selective semi-hydrogenation of acetylene in the ethylene-rich mixtures avoiding full hydrogenation to ethane.⁴ Being a problem of high fundamental and industrial importance, understanding the conditions which govern the activity and selectivity of the catalyst attracts continuous scientific. Exposure of the catalyst to hydrogen/hydrocarbon mixtures may lead to formation of hydride and carbide phases of palladium,² which affects catalytic activity and selectivity. However, the exact roles of hydrides and carbides in the activity and selectivity, as well as the molecular-level picture of the catalytic hydrogenation over palladium, are still under debate.

In a number of studies, bulk absorbed hydrogen was claimed to be responsible for non-selective full hydrogenation of alkynes to alkanes.⁵⁻⁸ According to Teschner and co-authors^{7, 8} the hydrogenation of alkynes leads to formation of a palladium carbide phase in the subsurface layers, while the same procedure with alkenes do not induce any modifications in the subsurface region. As the result of extensive studies reviewed by Armbrüster et al.,¹ it was concluded that the palladium carbide phase is responsible for selective partial hydrogenation of alkynes to alkenes, while the absence of the Pd-C on the surface (subsurface) leads to unselective total hydrogenation of alkynes and alkenes to alkanes. However, most of these results were obtained at low (~1 mbar) partial pressures of the reactants, and the interpolation to the atmospheric pressures is not always straightforward.^{2, 3}

In the alternative studies of Tew et al.,^{9, 10} palladium carbide phase was present during both selective and non-selective hydrogenation of 1-pentyne. In particular, at 100 °C the selectivity to pentane was 72%, while the observed structure of the catalyst corresponded to the palladium carbide. Pradier et al. observed that the β -PdH phase yielded selectivity to ethylene higher than 90%. Borodziński et al.¹¹ investigated a Pd/Al₂O₃ catalyst at pressures of about 0.3 bar and concluded that the decomposition of β -PdH improved the selectivity to semi-hydrogenation, but at the same time the rate of ethane formation was increased by one order. In the same work it was also observed that in presence of hydrocarbons β -PdH is formed at much higher partial pressures of hydrogen comparing to Pd-H phase diagram obtained in pure hydrogen,¹² which indicates that an investigation of Pd-H/Pd-C phase diagram under different partial pressures of hydrocarbon molecules is required. In our recent works, formation of palladium carbides was observed in the presence of acetylene,¹³⁻¹⁵ ethylene^{14, 16, 17} and under reaction conditions,¹⁶⁻¹⁸ which also highlighted irreversible changes in the catalyst structure in the time scales from minutes to hours.

In the current work, we performed *operando* time-resolved study of supported palladium nanoparticles during ethylene hydrogenation by combined Pd *K*-edge extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) spectroscopy, X-ray absorption near edge structure (XANES) spectroscopy, X-ray powder diffraction (XRPD) and mass spectrometry. The structure evolution of the spent catalyst upon exposure to an excess hydrogen was monitored by XRPD.

ACCE

S

DISCU

2. Experimental and methods

2.1. Sample characterization

Faraday Discussions

The industrial palladium-based catalyst was provided by Chimet S.p.A.¹⁹⁻²¹ The synthesis was performed following the deposition–precipitation method using an activated carbon²² as a support. According to TEM characterization, the average nanoparticle size in the sample is 2.6 nm and narrow particle size distribution with the standard deviation $\sigma = 0.4$ nm.¹³

2.2. Synchrotron measurements

Synchrotron-based measurements were performed at the BM31 beamline^{23, 24} of ESRF (Grenoble, France). The catalyst in the form of powder was loaded in a glass capillary with inner diameter of 1.0 mm. Having the total length inside the capillary of ca. 5 mm, the catalyst was fixed from both sides by quartz wool. Remotely controlled gas setup (See Figure S1 of ESI) with three mass flow controllers (MFC), responsible for helium, hydrogen and ethylene fluxes, was connected to the inlet of the capillary. The gas mixture was flowed under atmospheric pressure, the overpressure was being controlled by a pressure gauge connected before the capillary. A total flux of 50 mL/min was conserved during the whole experimental procedure. The outlet of the capillary was connected to a Pfeiffer OmniStar GSD 320 online mass spectrometer (MS). Gas blower positioned above the sample was used to control the temperature. The sample activation was performed at 125 °C in 4:1 He/H₂ mixture for 30 minutes. Then, the sample was cooled down to 80 °C and during the following experimental steps, the partial pressures of the three gasses were changed stepwise by means of mass flow controllers (See Figure S2).

At each step, X-ray absorption and X-ray powder diffraction data were quasi-simultaneously collected. X-ray absorption spectra at Pd *K*-edge were obtained in the transmission geometry by ionization chambers in the continuous scanning mode with simultaneous measurement of Pd foil for energy calibration. X-ray diffraction was measured using 0.50522 Å radiation by CMOS-Dexela 2D detector. The geometry of experiment allowed us to get diffraction patterns in the 2 θ range from 2 to 51 degrees. The beamline allowed fast switching between XAS and XRD regimes, so that the total time needed for single X-ray absorption and diffraction measurement was about 20 minutes.

2.3. Data analysis

Single-shell Fourier analysis of EXAFS was performed using the Demeter 0.9.21 package.²⁵ A real space data fitting in the *R*-range from 1.5 to 3.2 Å was performed on the k^2 -weighted data in the *k*-range from 5 to 12 Å⁻¹. Scattering amplitudes and phases were calculated by the FEFF6 code.²⁶ The fit included four parameters for each spectrum: Pd-Pd interatomic distance (R_{Pd-Pd}), the Debye-Waller factor (σ^2), energy shift (ΔE_0) and coordination number (*N*). The value of the reduction factor $S_0^2 = 0.82$ was obtained by fitting the spectrum of the palladium foil.

PCA analysis of the XANES spectra was performed in PyFitit code²⁷ to determine the number of independent components. Then, the SIMPLISMA approach²⁸ was applied with subsequent linear combination fit of all experimental spectra.

Paper

Published on 07 February 2020. Downloaded by Rostov State University on 2/26/2021 7:53:51 AM

Faraday Discussions

The initial 2-dimensional X-ray diffraction images were processed in PyFAI code^{29, 30} which allows fast averaging, background subtraction and integrating to obtain $I(2\theta)$ patterns were different was performed in Jana2006 program.³¹ Profile parameters were obtained by refining the patterns of pure metallic and the most hydrogenated states of the sample and were kept fixed during the refinement of other patterns. Isotropic anisotropic displacement (U_{iso}) of Pd atoms was estimated in a similar way. No H or C atoms were included. The refinement used two phases of palladium with *fcc* structure using their relative ratio and cell parameters as fitting variables. For the mass spectroscopy data analysis, we used the ratio of m/Z = 30 and 28 to quantify the

conversion of ethylene. This approach allowed us to overcome the problem of similar massspectra of ethylene and ethane molecules, while the ratio of the two m/Z signals varies from 0 for pure ethylene to ca. 0.25 for pure ethane.¹⁶

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Evolution of the atomic and electronic structures of the catalyst during ethylene hydrogenation followed by combined XRPD/EXAFS/XANES

The experimental conditions are summarized in Figure 1a. The whole experiment was performed at 80 °C. Initially, the activated catalyst was exposed to a mixture of H₂/He at variable partial pressures (region I in Figure 1) to (i) identify the phase transition between metallic and hydride phases of palladium, (ii) compare the H₂ partial pressure at which the phase transition occurs with that obtained in static conditions,^{32, 33} and (iii) obtain the spectroscopic and structural fingerprints of the two pure states of the catalyst (hydride and metallic) for further usage in XANES analysis (vide infra). Then, the H₂ flow (blue) was set to 5 mL/min and C₂H₄ flow (red) was increased stepwise preserving the total flow at 50 mL/min by simultaneous tuning of He flow (region II in Figure 1). Finally, a similar procedure was applied but with H₂ flow equal to 10 mL/min (region III in Figure 1). The percentage yield of ethane, estimated by the ratio of *m*/*Z* = 30 and 28 signals, is shown by the green line in Figure 1a (values at the right ordinate axis).

Figure 1b summarizes the results of the EXAFS and XRPD data analysis, collected quasisimultaneously within the same experiment. In particular, it reports the first shell Pd–Pd interatomic distances as determined from EXAFS (purple circles, left ordinate axis) and the cell parameter as obtained from XRPD (brown squares, right ordinate axis). In the region I, the catalyst was initially exposed to 50 mL/min flux of pure He. Both EXAFS and XRPD indicate that the palladium phase is in metallic state. Then, the helium flux was decreased to 47 mL/min and 3 mL/min of H₂ was introduced. At the successive steps, the hydrogen flux was kept at 3 mL/min and the helium flux was being decreased down to 0. The XRPD was measured at every experimental point showing a gradual increase of the cell parameter with a characteristic α - to β hydride phase transition in the region corresponding to a H₂ partial pressure of 100-150 mbar. The position of the phase transition is similar to that obtained in static conditions³² and was independently checked in the flow conditions, but keeping the constant total flux (See Figure S3 of ESI). EXAFS data were collected at selected points, and the obtained Pd–Pd interatomic distances follow a similar trend as the cell parameter determined by XRPD. However, the Published on 07 February 2020. Downloaded by Rostov State University on 2/26/2021 7:53:51 AM

Faraday Discussions

interatomic distances are systematically lower than those calculated based on XRPD cell parameters: being almost identical for the initial metallic phase, the values differ by 0.5% foothe most hydrogenated state (the error bars are shown for the XRPD and EXAFS at the last point of region II in Figure 1b). This can be explained by smaller interatomic distances in the surface regions of the NPs, compared to the bulk, which affect EXAFS data, but do not contribute to XRPD peaks.³² In the region II, both EXAFS and XRPD results follow a similar trend. The starting state of the catalyst in pure He is characterized by a slightly increased R_{Pd-Pd} = 2.75 Å, compared to 2.74 Å in the initial state in the region I. Although the difference is within the precision of EXAFS (0.01 Å), its reason will be explained after the analysis of XANES data (vide infra). After addition of 5 mL/min H_2 , the R_{Pd-Pd} value increases to 2.78 Å, which is smaller than that for pure hydride phase (2.81 Å) and can be explained by the fact that the resulting H₂ partial pressure (100 mbar) corresponds to the phase transition region. The successive addition of C_2H_4 decreases the R_{Pd-Pd} (and cell parameter), due to conversion of C_2H_4 to C_2H_6 which decreases the partial pressure of H_2 . However, even at 1:1 ethylene to hydrogen ratio with almost 100 % conversion, the R_{Pd-Pd} values are bigger than 2.74 Å, indicating that the catalytic species are not the metallic phase. In an excess of C_2H_4 (last 4 points in the region II), the R_{Pd-Pd} values increase, indicative of carbide formation. In the whole range of ethylene to hydrogen ratios, the ethane yield is close to 100%, being slightly lower in the region with big excess of H₂, which correlates with previous findings.^{16, 34, 35}



Figure 1. (a) The adjusted He, H₂, and C₂H₄ flows (black, blue, and red columns, respectively, left ordinate axis). The percentage yield of ethane is shown by green line with crosses with corresponding values in the right ordinate axis (b) Evolution of the first shell Pd–Pd interatomic distances from EXAFS (purple circles, left ordinate axis) and cell parameter from XRPD (brown squares, right ordinate axis). (c) Evolution of metallic (black squares), hydride (blue circles) and carbide (red triangles) components obtained by LCF of XANES spectra. For clarity, the experiment is divided into three regions: (I) identification of the phase transition to palladium hydride, and catalytic hydrogenation of C_2H_4 at H_2 flow of (II) 5 mL/min and (III) 10 mL/min.

Page 6 of 11

Paper

Published on 07 February 2020. Downloaded by Rostov State University on 2/26/2021 7:53:51 AM

Faraday Discussions

When the H₂ flow is doubled to 10 mL/min (region III), both the interatomic distances and cell parameter increase to values which are still 0.6% smaller than those of pure palladium hydride. Irrespective of the ethylene to hydrogen ratios adopted in the region III, the interatomic distances and cell parameter do not decrease below the values obtained at the end of the region II, which indirectly indicates that a stable carbide phase might have been formed.

To shed light on the phase transformations occurring during the catalytic reaction, the Pd K-edge XANES region of the absorption spectra was considered. As the first step, the whole series of XANES data (Figure S4 of ESI) was analyzed by means of PCA, which revealed the presence of three independent components (Figure S5 of ESI). The SIMPLISMA approach was then applied to extract the three components (Figure S6), which were interpreted as metallic, hydride and carbide species based on their spectral features.^{13, 36, 37} The linear combination fit (LCF) to the experimental data provided the relative concentration of the above phases along the experimental procedure (Figure 1c). Supporting the EXAFS and XRPD results, the region I is characterized by a phase transition from pure metallic to pure hydride phase, with the third, carbide, component being equal to zero. In the beginning of region II, the amount of carbide is ca. 25% already in the first point. This can be explained by the presence of small traces of C_2H_4 in the gas line due to the fact that in the region I, both MFC and the valve of the C₂H₄ line were closed (See Figure S1 of ESI), while before the measurements in the region II, the valve was open and the MFC allowed passing a small amounts of ethylene, even though its setpoint was 0. According to MS reading, the absolute amount of C_2H_4 in the resulting gas flow was below 0.3 mL/min. However, even such small amount immediately reacts with the catalyst forming a carbide phase. This also explains the higher values of interatomic distances for the starting point of the region (I) discussed above. Although the presence of ethylene traces in the begging of region II was undesirable, the formation of a strong carbide phase in the end of the region II mainly occurs under the reaction conditions, which we independently prove in Section 3.3. With further addition of H_2 , a concentration of hydride phase of ca. 30% was detected, with ca. 30% and 40%, of carbide and metallic phases, respectively. Upon increase of C_2H_4 , the hydride component gradually decreases to zero, resulting in the mixture of carbide and metallic phases, with concentration of carbide of ca. 35% and 75% at ethylene to hydrogen ratios of 1:1 and 4:1, respectively.

The starting point of region III is characterized by a mixture of hydride and carbide components (30% and 65%, respectively), with only 5% of metallic one. This means that only a small decrease of carbide with respect to the final point of the region II occurs even in the absence of ethylene and a continuous flow of 20% H_2 /He. This decrease can be referred to the desorption of hydrocarbon molecules from the surface of the NPs, since both surface Pd-C bonds and bulk carbide have a similar effect on XANES.³⁸ Thus, XANES proves the formation of stable and irreversible carbide by interaction with alkene, which also explains why the biggest interatomic distances in the region III are smaller than that in pure hydride phase, and the smallest observed distances are higher than in the pure metallic phase.

The combination of three experimental techniques, XRPD, EXAFS and XANES, applied in quasisimultaneous conditions, allowed constructing a complete picture of the evolution of the Published on 07 February 2020. Downloaded by Rostov State University on 2/26/2021 7:53:51 AM

catalyst's structure under hydrogenation reaction conditions. Even small concentrations of ethylene result in immediate and almost irreversible formation of palladium carbide whose concentration further increases during the reaction. The structure of the Pd phase in a catalyst continuously exposed to hydrogen/ethylene/ethane reaction mixtures mainly corresponds to palladium carbide. In contrast to several reports,⁵⁻⁸ the carbide phase is active in ethylene to ethane conversion, which becomes even higher with increasing carbide concentration.

The XRPD data reported in Figure 1b indicate that in a spent catalyst, new stable palladium phases can be formed with the cell parameters different from those of α - and β -hydrides and metallic palladium, while the phase transitions with mixed-phase regions are clearly observed e.g. in the middle of region III in Figure 1b.

3.2. Phase transition in the spent catalyst followed by XRPD

After the procedure described in Section 3.1, the spent catalyst was exposed to 10 mL/min of ethylene and the hydrogen flow was being increased stepwise from 10 to 20 mL/min. The evolution of the XRPD patterns is shown in Figure 2a (from black to blue). The starting point is characterized by a single phase with the cell parameter $a = 3.980 \pm 0.001$ Å, which can be assigned to a palladium carbide based on XANES data (Figure 1c, region III). The increase of H₂ flow leads to a gradual shift of the XRPD peaks towards higher 2 θ values, indicating a lattice contraction. The Rietveld refinement (Figure 2b) revealed the coexistence of two phases. The first one (red squares) is characterized by a stable lattice parameter of ca. 3.92 Å. The second (blue squares) has a starting lattice parameter of 3.98 Å, which gradually increases to ca. 4.03 Å. Due to the decreasing fraction of the second phase from 100 to 12%, the resulting averaged cell parameter (green circles) decreases to 3.932 ± 0.001 Å. The above behavior is very unusual for palladium-hydrogen system, since normally the increase of the hydrogen pressure leads to an increase of the cell parameter. Indeed, as in the beginning of region III in Figure 1b, one could expect here the formation of palladium hydride or a mixed hydride-carbide phase with increased cell parameter.

The explanation of such behavior is possible with the insights from the Rietveld refinement of the XRPD data (Figure 2b). One can note, that within one of the phases an increase of the cell parameter is observed (blue squares in Figure 2b). Moreover, considering the almost full ethylene conversion to ethane, the range of the H₂ partial pressures at which the above increase is observed is the same as for pure metal-hydride phase transition presented in the region I of Figure 1. Therefore, this phase can be assigned to a palladium hydride one, whose concentration in the sample is relatively small. The interpretation of the second phase is less unambiguous. On the one hand, exposure to hydrogen could result in partial removal of the carbon atoms from the Pd lattice. On the other hand, in presence of hydrogen, the lattice should become more flexible allowing the better mobility of carbon impurities. As the result, a new phase with more homogenous distribution of XRPD peaks indicates that these phases are most likely formed in different particles, rather than co-existing in single ones.

Faraday Discussions



Figure 2. (a) The series of XRPD patterns collected for the used catalyst upon increasing H_2 flow from 10 (black) to 20 (blue) mL/min, at constant C_2H_4 flow of 10 mL/min. (b) Cell parameters of the two phases (red and blue squares) and they weighted average (green circles) obtained from the Rietveld refinement as a function of hydrogen H_2 flow. Error bars are shown at selected points. The horizontal black and blue lines correspond to the expected cell parameters for metallic and hydride phases, respectively.

3.3. Formation of palladium carbide under reaction conditions confirmed by XRPD

In Section 3.1, we have already shown that palladium carbide phase was formed under reaction conditions. However, due to the undesirable presence of small ethylene traces in the absence of hydrogen (first point in the region II of Figure 1), we have repeated this part of experiment using a fresh sample and manually closing the ethylene line at the first point of the experimental procedure. For faster measurements only XRPD data were collected. The results are summarized in Figure 3. It can be seen that at the starting point the cell parameter (part b, region I of the figure) is higher than that reported in Figure 1b (region II), which is explained by formation of complete hydride phase in the absence of ethylene. However, in the end of region II, when hydrogen is absent due to hydrogenation reaction, the cell parameter is again higher than that of metallic palladium which indirectly indicate formation of palladium carbide.



Figure 3. (a) The adjusted He, H₂, and C₂H₄ flows (black, blue, and red columns, respectively, left ordinate axis) and (b) evolution of the cell parameter from XRPD (brown squares) during catalytic hydrogenation of C₂H₄ at constant H₂ flow of 5 mL/min (region I) and constant C₂H₄ flow of 5 mL/min (region II). The adjusted flow of the second reactive gas is also shown in abscissa axis.

Published on 07 February 2020. Downloaded by Rostov State University on 2/26/2021 7:53:51 AM

Published on 07 February 2020. Downloaded by Rostov State University on 2/26/2021 7:53:51 AM

Further increase of hydrogen flow (region II of Figure 3) did not result in the same cell parameter as in the initial hydride phase even when hydrogen was in big excess with respect to ethylene Evg. in the end of the region II, the hydrogen flow was set to 30 mL/min while the ethylene one was only 5 mL/min. For fresh palladium samples, such concentration (even considering full conversion) should result in β -hydride phase formation. The lower cell parameter under such hydrogen concentration again proves the formation of carbide phase.

4. Conclusions

In conclusion, we have performed a detailed characterization of a carbon-supported palladiumbased catalyst during the hydrogenation of ethylene to ethane under the different reaction conditions by simultaneously applying XRPD, EXAFS and XANES techniques. The synergetic combination of the three techniques gives complementary information about atomic and electronic structure of palladium nanoparticles resulting in a comprehensive understanding, which could not be achieved by application of any of the above techniques separately. We confirmed the irreversible formation of palladium carbides under reaction conditions and showed that this process does not reduce the catalytic activity. Finally, we have found an abnormal increase of the cell parameter with the increasing hydrogen pressure in the spent catalyst. The analysis of the XRPD data suggested, that this behaviour may be explained by a phase transition between two different carbide phases with formation of a hydride one. However, this phenomenon could be a subject of further investigation and discussion.

Conflicts of interest

Authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments

We are deeply indebted with Professor Carlo Lamberti, who supported us by wise advices and bright ides at all stages of this investigation. A.L.B., O.A.U., A.A.G, and A.V.S. acknowledge the Russian Ministry of Science and Higher Education for the financial support (Project RFMEFI58417X0029, Agreement 14.584.21.0029). We are thankful to Vladimir Dmitriev, Herman Emerich, and Wouter van Beek for their support during the experiment performed at BM31.

Author contributions

A.L.B., J.A.B., E.G. and A.V.S. contributed to designing the experiment and gaining the beamtime at ESRF. R.P. made the chemical synthesis of the catalyst. A.L.B., A.A.G., K.L. and M.B. participated in the synchrotron experiment at ESRF. A.L.B. and O.A.U. performed the data analysis.

Notes and references

1 M. Armbrüster, M. Behrens, F. Cinquini, K. Föttinger, Y. Grin, A. Haghofer, B. Klötzer, A. Knop-Gericke, H. Lorenz, A. Ota, S. Penner, J. Prinz, C. Rameshan, Z. Révay, D. Rosenthal, G. Rupprechter, P. Sautet, R. Schlögl, L. Shao, L. Szentmiklósi, D. Teschner, D. Torres, R. Wagner,

- 4
- 5
- Rupprechter, P. Sautet, R. Schlögl, L. Shao, L. Szentmikiosi, D. Teschner, D. Torres, R. Wagner, R. Widmer and G. Wowsnick, *ChemCatChem*, 2012, 4, 1048-1063.
 A. Borodziński and G. C. Bond, *Catal. Rev.*, 2006, 48, 91-144.
 A. Borodziński and G. C. Bond, *Catal. Rev.*, 2008, 50, 379-469.
 DOI: 10.1039/C9FD00139E
 Á. Molnár, A. Sárkány and M. Varga, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.*, 2001, 173, 185-221.
 S. Shaikhutdinov, M. Heemeier, M. Bäumer, T. Lear, D. Lennon, R. J. Oldman, S. D. Jackson and H. J. Freund, *J. Catal.*, 2001, 200, 330-339.
 D. Teschner, J. Borsodi, Z. Kis, L. Szentmiklósi, Z. Révay, A. Knop-Gericke, R. Schlögl, D. Torres and P. Sautet, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2010, 114, 2293-2299.
 D. Teschner, J. Borsodi, A. Wootsch, Z. Revay, M. Havecker, A. Knop-Gericke, S. D. Jackson and R. Schlögl, *Science*, 2008, 320, 86-89.
 D. Teschner, Z. Revay, J. Borsodi, M. Havecker, A. Knop-Gericke, R. Schlogl, D. Milrov, S. D. 6
- 7
- Schnogl, Science, 2006, 520, 60-65.
 D. Teschner, Z. Revay, J. Borsodi, M. Havecker, A. Knop-Gericke, R. Schlogl, D. Milroy, S. D. Jackson, D. Torres and P. Sautet, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 2008, 47, 9274-9278.
 M. W. Tew, M. Janousch, T. Huthwelker and J. A. van Bokhoven, J. Catal., 2011, 283, 45-54.
 M. W. Tew, M. Nachtegaal, M. Janousch, T. Huthwelker and J. A. van Bokhoven, J. Catal., 2011, 283, 45-54.
 M. W. Tew, M. Nachtegaal, M. Janousch, T. Huthwelker and J. A. van Bokhoven, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2012, 14, 5761-5768.
 A. Borodziński, R. Duś, R. Frak, A. Janko, W. Palczewska, G. Bond, P. Wells and F. Tompkins, Proceedings of 6th International Congress on Catalysis Edited by: Bond. GC. Wells. PR and
- Proceedings of 6th International Congress on Catalysis. Edited by: Bond, GC, Wells, PB and Tompkins, FC., 1977, 150.
- 12 W. Eichenauer, Berichte der Bunsengesellschaft für physikalische Chemie, 1967, 71, 1160-1161
- 13 A. L. Bugaev, A. A. Guda, A. Lazzarini, K. A. Lomachenko, E. Groppo, R. Pellegrini, A. Piovano, H. Emerich, A. V. Soldatov, L. A. Bugaev, V. P. Dmitriev, J. A. van Bokhoven and C. Lamberti, *Catal. Today*, 2017, **283**, 119-126.
- 14 A. A. Skorynina, A. A. Tereshchenko, O. A. Usoltsev, A. L. Bugaev, K. A. Lomachenko, A. A. Guda, E. Groppo, R. Pellegrini, C. Lamberti and A. Soldatov, *Radiat. Phys. Chem.*, DOI: 10.1016/j.radphyschem.2018.11.033, in press.
- 15 A. L. Bugaev, O. A. Usoltsev, A. Lazzarini, K. A. Lomachenko, A. A. Guda, R. Pellegrini, M. Carosso, J. G. Vitillo, E. Groppo, J. A. van Bokhoven, A. V. Soldatov and C. Lamberti, *Faraday* 2019, 2019, 1072-2019 Discuss., 2018, **208**, 187-205
- A. L. Bugaev, A. A. Guda, I. A. Pankin, E. Groppo, R. Pellegrini, A. Longo, A. V. Soldatov and C. Lamberti, *Catal. Today*, 2019, **336**, 40-44.
 A. L. Bugaev, A. A. Guda, K. A. Lomachenko, E. G. Kamyshova, M. A. Soldatov, G. Kaur, S. Øien-
- Ødegaard, L. Braglia, A. Lazzarini, M. Manzoli, S. Bordiga, U. Olsbye, K. P. Lillerud, A. V. Soldatov and C. Lamberti, *Faraday Discuss.*, 2018, **208**, 287-306.
- A. L. Bugaev, A. A. Guda, I. A. Pankin, E. Groppo, R. Pellegrini, A. Longo, A. V. Soldatov and C. Lamberti, *Data in Brief*, 2019, **24**, 103954.
 R. Pellegrini, G. Leofanti, G. Agostini, E. Groppo, M. Rivallan and C. Lamberti, *Langmuir*, 2009, Control of Contr
- G. Agostini, E. Groppo, A. Piovano, R. Pellegrini, G. Leofanti and C. Lamberti, *Langmuir*, 2010, **26**, 11204-11211. 20
- 21 G. Agostini, C. Lamberti, R. Pellegrini, G. Leofanti, F. Giannici, A. Longo and E. Groppo, ACS Catal., 2014, **4**, 187-194.
- A. Piovano, A. Lazzarini, R. Pellegrini, G. Leofanti, G. Agostini, S. Rudić, A. L. Bugaev, C. Lamberti and E. Groppo, Adv. Condens. Matter Phys., 2015, 2015, Art. n. 803267.
 W. van Beek, O. V. Safonova, G. Wiker and H. Emerich, Phase Transit., 2011, 84, 726-732.
 P. M. Abdala, O. V. Safonova, G. Wiker, W. van Beek, H. Emerich, J. A. van Bokhoven, J. Sa, J. Science M. Machter and M. Machter and M. Chimir, 2012, 26, 600 705.
- Szlachetko and M. Nachtegaal, Chimia, 2012, 66, 699-705.

- 25 B. Ravel and M. Newville, J. Synchrotron Radiat., 2005, 12, 537-541.
 26 J. J. Rehr and R. C. Albers, Rev. Mod. Phys., 2000, 72, 621-654.
 27 A. Martini, S. A. Guda, A. A. Guda, G. Smolentsev, A. Algasov, O. Usoltsev, M. A. Soldatov, A. Bugaev, Y. Rusalev, C. Lamberti and A. V. Soldatov, Comput. Phys. Commun, 2019, DOI: 10.1016/j.cpc.2019.107064.
 28 W. Windig and D. A. Starbarger, Appl. Cham. 2002, 64, 2725, 2742.

- W. Windig and D. A. Stephenson, Anal. Chem., 2002, 64, 2735-2742.
 J. Kieffer and D. Karkoulis, J. Phys. Chem. Ser., 2013, 425, 202012.
 G. Ashiotis, A. Deschildre, Z. Nawaz, J. P. Wright, D. Karkoulis, F. E. Picca and J. Kieffer, J. Appl. Crystallogr., 2015, 48, 510-519.
 V. Petříčak, M. Duček, and J. Belatinus, Z. Kristallogr. Cryst. Mater. 2014, 220, 245, 252.
- 31 V. Petříček, M. Dušek and L. Palatinus, Z. Kristallogr. Cryst. Mater., 2014, 229, 345–352.
 32 A. L. Bugaev, A. A. Guda, K. A. Lomachenko, V. V. Shapovalov, A. Lazzarini, J. G. Vitillo, L. A. Bugaev, E. Groppo, R. Pellegrini, A. V. Soldatov, J. A. van Bokhoven and C. Lamberti, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2017, 121, 18202-18213.
- A. L. Bugaev, A. A. Guda, K. A. Lomachenko, V. V. Srabionyan, L. A. Bugaev, A. V. Soldatov, C. Lamberti, V. P. Dmitriev and J. A. van Bokhoven, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2014, **118**, 10416-10423.
 U. Jung, A. Elsen, Y. Li, J. G. Smith, M. W. Small, E. A. Stach, A. I. Frenkel and R. G. Nuzzo, *ACS Catal.*, 2015, **5**, 1539-1551.
 S. Zhao, Y. Li, D. Liu, J. Liu, Y.-M. Liu, D. N. Zakharov, Q. Wu, A. Orlov, A. A. Gewirth, E. A. Stach, B. G. Nuzzo, *ACS Catal.*, 2017, **121**, 18962, 18972.
- R. G. Nuzzo and A. I. Frenkel, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2017, **121**, 18962-18972.

AM

7:53:51

Published on 07 February 2020. Downloaded by Rostov State University on 2/26/2021

Faraday Discussions Accepted Manuscript

Faraday Discussions

- 36 A. L. Bugaev, V. V. Srabionyan, A. V. Soldatov, L. A. Bugaev and J. A. van Bokhoven, *J. Phys. Chem. Ser.*, 2013, **430**, 012028.
- Ser., 2015, 450, 012028.
 A. L. Bugaev, A. A. Guda, K. A. Lomachenko, A. Lazzarini, V. V. Srabionyan, J. GewVitilloynA. Piovano, E. Groppo, L. A. Bugaev, A. V. Soldatov, V. P. Dmitriev, R. Pełłegrinig/chrAniwan Bokhoven and C. Lamberti, *J. Phys. Chem. Ser.*, 2016, **712**, 012032.
 A. L. Bugaev, O. A. Usoltsev, A. A. Guda, K. A. Lomachenko, I. A. Pankin, Y. V. Rusalev, H. Emerich, E. Groppo, R. Pellegrini, A. V. Soldatov, J. A. van Bokhoven and C. Lamberti, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2018, **122**, 12029-12037.