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Abstract

In the framework of an extended phenomenological approach to phase transitions, we show that existing nonlinear relation between local critical atomic parameters and phenomenological order parameter induces the corresponding nonlinear temperature scaling transformation, and find the explicit form for such a transformation. The theoretically predicted uniform function reproduces well the experimentally observed behavior of order parameters in different systems.

1. Introduction

It is well established in the literature that, despite the fact that the phenomenological Landau theory of phase transitions [1,2] deals with various types of variational free energy, it predicts, in fact, a single set of the critical point exponents ($\alpha = 0$, $\beta = 1/2$, $\gamma = 1$ and $\delta = 3$) and thus belongs to the single "mean field" universal class [3]. In what follows we use the terminology of the theory of critical phenomena for the phenomenological theory, although the latter does not treat critical phenomena properly. The phenomenological theory characterizes a system by the critical exponents both outside and within the critical region, where temperature behavior of a general function $f(t)$ can be approximated by a simple power function $f(t) = A t^{\lambda}$, with $\lambda$ as a critical point exponent, and $t = (T - T_c)/T_c$, as a dimensionless variable to measure the temperature difference with the critical temperature $T_c$. A considerable body of experimental data indicates that the real systems show regular deviation from the behavior predicted in the framework of the Landau phenomenological theory, and different universality classes were found experimentally in such systems. It is convenient to consider such a discrepancy as caused by two main reasons: (i) the phenomenological theory neglects critical fluctuations, i.e. one assumes that the order parameter can be characterized by a single value at any temperature, and (ii) the above theory uses the dimensionality for the fluctuation space lower than the marginal dimensionality ($d < d_\text{on}$) (see, for example, [4–6]). These reasons both relate to the critical fluctuations and are valid in the critical region. However, the existence of background hetero- and homophase fluctuations [7,8] was not considered in the analysis of the above discrepancies. Here we highlight yet another reason for the divergence of the phenomenological calculations and the corresponding experimental data. Specifically, a transcendental relation between a phenomenological order parameter and the corresponding local atomic variables along with the nonlinear temperature scaling transformation result in a deviation of the experimental values for the critical exponents from those predicted by the Landau theory. We show how the nonlinear transformation parameters depend on the fluctuation properties of the real systems far beyond the critical region.

2. Microscopic and macroscopic order parameters

2.1. Order parameter space

The Landau theory uses the increment $\delta\rho(\mathbf{r})$ of the probability density, expressing the difference between the initial density in high-symmetry parent phase, $\rho_0(\mathbf{r})$, and the final low-symmetry
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phase, \( \rho (r) \), expanded as a function of the basis functions of an irreducible representation (IR) \( \tau_{ij} \) of the space group \( G_0 \) of the parent phase [1,2]. This expansion has the form:

\[
\delta \rho (r) = \rho (r) - \rho_0 = \sum_{k,j} \eta_j \phi_{k,j} (r)
\]

(1)

The wave vector \( k \), located in the first Brillouin zone, characterizes the translational symmetry of the basis functions \( \phi_{k,j} (r) \), which are the linear combinations of the local atomic functions, associated with the crystalline structure. The index \( j \) labels the representations \( \tau_j \) of \( G_0 \) and the index \( i = 1, \ldots, n \) runs over the different basis functions spanning the \( n \)-dimensional IR \( \tau_{ij} \). For a given \( j \), the set of scalar coefficients \( \eta_j \) defines the order parameter (OP), which describes the total distortion of the initial structure at the transition. Usually a single irreducible OP breaks the symmetry in a phase transition, so we only keep index \( i \) in Eq. (1).

The linear coupling between \( \eta_i \) and \( \phi_j (r) \) in Eq. (1) allows choosing either of these two quantities as a forming basis of the relevant IR. As a consequence, the non-equilibrium thermo-structural phase transitions, in particular, martensitic transformations, shows that there exists a transcendental functional renormalization transformation, which describes the total distortion of the initial structure at the transition. Usually a single irreducible OP breaks the symmetry in a phase transition, so we only keep index \( i \) in Eq. (1).

The boundary conditions are \( \eta (0) = 0 \) and \( \eta (\Omega) = \eta \). The first condition indicates a coincidence of the origin points for the variables \( \eta \) and \( \xi \). The second one ensures their identical behavior close to \( \Omega \), i.e. it justifies the change of variables \( \xi - \eta \) in the Landau theory. The differential Eq. (3) has exact general solution expressed as

\[ \eta = \eta_0 \sin[\mu (\xi - \xi_0)], k \]

(4)

In the above equation \( \sin[\mu (\xi - \xi_0)], k \) is the elliptic sine of Jacobi, and \( \mu \) and \( \xi_0 \) are the arbitrary constants [18]. With the applied boundary conditions, \( \mu = 1 \) and \( \xi_0 = 0 \). The parameter \( k = \sqrt{(a_0/g)} \) is the modulus of the elliptic integral of the first kind

\[ u = F(\xi, k) = \int_0^\xi \frac{dz}{\sqrt{1 - k^2 \sin^2 \xi}} \]

(5)

The phase diagram of this system can be obtained by minimizing the Landau potential

\[ \phi_L (\eta) = a_1 \eta^2 + a_2 \eta^4 \]

(6)

where the OP \( \eta \) has the form of Eq. (4). The minimization of \( \phi_L \) with respect to the actual variational parameter \( \xi \) is expressed by

\[ \frac{\partial \phi_L}{\partial \xi} = \frac{\partial \phi_L}{\partial \eta} \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \xi} \]

(7)
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By minimizing variational free energy and for different values of \( t_n \), then a line of minima exists at any temperature up to \( T=0 \) K, one makes use of a generalized form for the Landau approach, in which any phase has its thermodynamic stability limit for the order parameter [Eq. (6)] can be introduced for the latter as well:

\[ \eta(T) = \eta_0 \sin(\tilde{\alpha}_{10} \sqrt{T_k}; k) \]

Fig. 2. Scaling ratio between temperature variables \( t_n \) and \( \tilde{t} \) for different values of the modulus \( \kappa \): 1 – 0, 2 – 0.8, 3 – 0.999.

Importantly, one can see in Fig. 1(a) that the temperature range of the OP variation, that is, the stability region of Landau phase III, is limited. Denoting the low-temperature stability limit for the Landau phase by \( T_L \) (Fig. 1(a)), the order parameter has the value 0 above \( T_L \) and varies between 0 and \( \eta_0 \) for \( T_c > T > T_L \), finally reaching the saturation value \( \eta_0 \) (conventionally, \( \eta_0 = 1 \)) at \( T_c \) and then remains invariant for \( T < T_L \) (Fig. 1(b)). As no conventional reasons give \( T_L = 0 \) K, one makes use of a generalized form for the dimensionless variable \( t_n = (T-T_C)/(T_L-T_C) \). The latter distinguishes the Landau approach, in which any phase has its thermodynamic limit of stability at a finite temperature (or pressure) \( T_c \neq 0 \) K, defined by Eq. (8) \( \partial^2 \Phi_\xi/\partial \xi^2 = 0 \), where the corresponding energy minima disappear, and the Gibb's type consideration where the set of minima exists at any temperature up to \( T = 0 \) K and the system finds a lowest one for any given \( T \).

### 3. Temperature scaling transformation

#### 3.1. OP temperature behavior

As discussed above, the Landau theory uses identical temperature dependencies for both a phenomenological order parameter \( \eta \) and atomic variable parameters \( \xi \). This means that the Landau potential [Eq. (6)] can be introduced for the latter as well: \( \Phi_\xi(\xi) = \alpha_1 \xi^2 + \alpha_2 \xi^4 \). By minimizing variational free energy \( \Phi_\xi \) and assuming \( \alpha_1 = \alpha_{10} t \) (\( \alpha_{10} = \text{const} \)) one finds \( \xi = \sqrt{\alpha_1 / 2 \alpha_2} \propto \sqrt{T} \). In Section 2.2 we stressed the existence of the complex relation between essential variational parameters \( \xi \) and measurable phenomenological order parameter \( \eta \). Thus, if one approximates \( \xi = \tilde{\alpha}_{10} t_n^{1/2} \), then a phenomenological order parameter \( \eta \) from Eq. (4) takes form

\[ \eta(t_n) = \eta_0 \sin(\tilde{\alpha}_{10} \sqrt{t_n}; k) \]

We consider the elliptical sine as a circular sine with the argument scaled with the integral transformation (5): \( \sin(\chi,k) = \sin \chi \) (factor \( \pi/2 \) is included in the definition of the argument) [18]. Making use of temperature as a variational thermodynamic parameter one can convert Eq. (5) into nonlinear temperature scaling transformation

\[ \sqrt{T} = \int_0^{t_n} \frac{d(x_n)}{\sqrt{1 - k^2 \sin^2(x_n t_n^{-1/2})}} \]

(10)

Nonlinearity of such a temperature scaling transformation is fully defined by the modulus \( \kappa \), varying from 0 to 1. Fig. 2 shows the scaling ratios between variables \( t_n \) and \( \tilde{t} \) for different values of the modulus \( \kappa \).

When \( \kappa = 0 \) the transformation is linear. It is worth reminding that the corresponding sinusoidal form for OP as a function of \( \xi \) was already employed earlier in the phenomenological models of displacive type reconstructive phase transitions in crystals [11,14–16] and of segregation transformations in complex fluids [17]. The presented discussion thus complements this approach with the temperature-controlled fluctuations.

To confirm the applicability of the presented approach to real physical systems we choose three crystals undergoing continuous phase transitions of different nature. Importantly, this choice is supported by the existence of the reliable experimental data.
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**Fig. 1.** (a) Phase diagram of the model Eq. (6). Solid, dashed and dashed-dotted lines are respectively discontinuous first-order transition, continuous transition, and limit of stability lines. O is the three-phase point. (b) Temperature dependence of the order parameter for the path indicated in (a).

**Fig. 3.** Reduced values of the order parameters for (1) Sn [19], (2) \( \beta \)-brass [25], and (3) PrAlO\(_3\) [22] as a function of rescaled temperature, and compared to the theoretical curve (solid line). For the inset see the text.
(i) In metallic Sn the transition to superconducting state takes place at $T_c = 3.782$ K [19]. The microscopic OP for such a transition is an effective wave function $\psi(\mathbf{r})$ which is proportional to the local value of the energy-gap parameter $\Delta$ [20,21]. The measurable phenomenological OP is then the average normalized energy gap in the elementary excitation spectrum of a superconductor [19].

(ii) The cooperative Jahn–Teller transition with the ordering in the electronic degrees of freedom reduces the symmetry of the perovskite PrAlO$_3$ crystal from tetragonal to monoclinic at $T_c = 151$ K. The electronic functions of the lowest crystal-field double degenerated level $E_g$ of the Pr$^{3+}$ (in the parent cubic field) form the basis functions of the relevant IR. The observable phenomenological OP is then either the splitting between the doublet levels in the ordered phase or the linearly coupled acoustic- and optical-phonon modes of the same symmetry [22].

(iii) Disorder-to-order transformation in $\beta$-brass at $T_c = 740$ K [23–25]. The deviation of average probability of atoms to occupy positions in a crystal lattice can be considered as the phenomenological OP for ordering type transitions. The local variation of the probability of occupation or, equivalently, population of the corresponding sites is then the essential variable parameter for the transition.

The temperature $T_n$ limiting the stability region of the Landau phase, and the modulus $\kappa$, characterizing nonlinearity of the temperature scaling transformation, are used as the fitting parameters. Fig. 3 shows the best fit for different OPs plotted versus scaled normalized temperature $\tilde{t}$ and compared with the worked out function $\eta(\tilde{t}) = \sin \frac{\pi}{2} \sqrt{\tilde{t}}$. Only the temperature range where $\eta = \text{const}$ is displayed. The corresponding fitting parameters were fixed as follows: Sn ($T_L = 1.236$ K; $\kappa = 0.05$), PrAlO$_3$ ($T_L = 61$ K; $\kappa = 0$) and $\beta$-brass ($T_L = 490$ K; $\kappa = 0.77$).

Clearly, the predicted uniform function reproduces well the experimentally observed behavior of OPs in these different systems. To emphasize the role of the temperature scaling transformation, the experimental spots for the $\beta$-brass OP [24,26] are plotted (inset of Fig. 3) versus the non-scaled normalized temperature $t_n$. Two curves in the inset display functions $\eta_1 = \sin (\frac{\pi}{2} t_n^{1/2})$ (solid line) and $\eta_2 = \sin (\frac{\pi}{2} t_n^{1/3})$ (dashed line). It is clear that close to $T_n$ the approximate function $\eta \propto t_n^{1/3}$ better reproduces experimentally observed OP behavior, and we deduce the critical exponent $\beta \approx 1/3$ for $\beta$-brass.

3.2. Modulus of the temperature scaling transformation

At this point we see that the phenomenological approach deals with two temperature scales. One can be termed real-temperature. This temperature $t$ (or, equivalently, $t_n$) is the measurable thermodynamic variable for the observable functions, describing the behavior of a system. Another, termed rescaled temperature $\tilde{t}$, appears in the phenomenological approach after the renormalization procedure that eliminates the local microscopic variables and replaces them with the macroscopic averaged variables, the components of the phenomenological OP. More precisely, they are the rescaled variables in the Landau theory for the temperature behavior of different physical quantities or the corresponding critical exponents. The example of $\beta$-brass (Fig. 3) clearly shows that the values for the OP critical exponent depending on the temperature scale. To compare experimental results and phenomenological predictions it is important to take into account the temperature scaling transformation Eq. (10). Fig. 4 shows the real-temperature OP critical exponent $\beta$ as a function of the modulus $\kappa$ of such transformation.

Despite the fact that $\beta = 1/2$ is unambiguously predicted in the Landau theory, we expect to obtain the different values for the critical exponent measured in the real-temperature scale.

Fig. 5 summarizes the modification steps passed by the experimentally measured curve $\eta(t)$ to be compared with the predictions of a phenomenological theory. Customary normalization of the temperature scale by the corresponding critical temperature $T_c$ ($T \rightarrow t$) brings different curves to the single origin (Fig. 5(a)). Then modified normalization introduced above merges the curves at the origin $t_n = 0$ ($T = T_c$) and at the saturation point $t_n = 1$ ($T = T_1$) of the OP variation range (Fig. 5(b)). Finally, nonlinear temperature scaling transformation $t_n \rightarrow \tilde{t}$ fits experimental points for different systems in the uniform curve $\eta(\tilde{t}) = \sin \frac{\pi}{2} \sqrt{\tilde{t}}$ (Fig. 5(c)), predicted

![Fig. 4. Measurable real temperature order parameter critical exponent as a function of the transformation modulus $\kappa$.](image)

![Fig. 5. Order parameter variation curves presented in different temperature scales.](image)
in the extended phenomenological approach.

To elucidate the physical meaning of the modulus \( \kappa \) of the nonlinear transformation (10) we consider the response function \( G(\xi) = (4a_0 r^2 \xi^{-4}) \), where \( q^{-2} = q^g \), and \( \chi \) is the generalized susceptibility [26]. The quantity \( \xi = q^{-1} \) is the correlation length of the short-range order. The latter can be directly measured in a scattering experiment when the radiation couples to the OP [5]. By incorporating a generalized external field in Eq. (6) it is easy to calculate the function \( \chi = a_0 + 3a_0 q^2 \xi^{-1} \) and then derive the modulus \( \kappa^2 = a_0 [G(\xi)] = \epsilon \varepsilon \eta_0 \), where \( \epsilon \xi \) is the correlation length far from the phase transition point \( (\sim 1) \) and \( \epsilon \) is the normalizing factor. The modulus \( \kappa \) thus characterizes the background fluctuational property of a physical system (i.e. far beyond the critical region).

3.3. Landau-to-limit phase transition

We next discuss the specific features of the non-Landau limit phase and manifestation of the Landau-to-limit-state transformation. The limit phase is defined above (Section 2.2) with respect to the classical Landau phase by the OP that retains the maximal value \( \eta_0 = \text{const} \) independent of the temperature variation. A considerable experimental data unambiguously indicate that such states do exist in various physical systems. The examples of Section 3.1 provide typical cases of the temperature behavior, predicted here (Fig. 1(b)). It should be stressed that for a given system in the possible but not necessarily perfect limit state the degree of order \( \eta_0 \leq 1 \) can be realized. This means that the limit phase is the state with the temperature-independent stable equilibrium between perfect order and partial disorder. One deals with a saturated state, perfectly ordered is a particular case. The latter property along with the absence at \( T_1 \) of a singularity in the function \( \eta(\xi) \) provides the emergence of the Landau-to-limit-state transition. One can show that the measurable thermodynamic functions such as entropy, latent heat or specific heat are proportional to \( \partial H/\partial \eta = \eta_0 \cos \xi \). However, this latter has no singularities and goes to zero at \( T_1 \) (or, equivalently, at \( f = 1 \)). Thus, these functions don’t show any jump-like behavior, divergence or different singularities at the corresponding transition point. From this, it is clear that the Landau-to-limit-state transformation can be identified neither as first nor as second order phase transition.

4. Conclusions and outlooks

Two assumptions should be considered to ensure the generality of the presented approach. Firstly, only the case of the single-dimensional OP was treated in this work. However, the temperature behavior of the OP in the Landau-type phenomenological approach is controlled by the coefficient \( a_t \) [see Eq. (2) and (6)]. It is associated with the invariant quadratic in OP components \( a_{iH} = a_t (\xi_1^2 + \ldots + \xi_n^2) \) of the free energy expansion, and \( a_t \) specifies the uniform functional form of the temperature dependence \( \eta(\xi) \) for each of the multiple OP components as well as for the corresponding single-component effective OP. The Fig. 3 further confirms this as the uniform function there reproduces the temperature behavior of the single- (\( \beta \)-brass), two- (Sn) and three-component (PrAlO\(_3\)) phenomenological OPs. Secondly, here we deal with the free energy expansion, restricted to the fourth degree term in OP components, while the above argument on the uniqueness of the coefficient \( a_t \) is still valid. Including the higher order terms modifies the stability conditions and the form of stability boundaries and transition lines in the phase diagram, but does not affect the temperature-dependent part of the free energy.

Summarizing, we have shown in the framework of an extended phenomenological approach that there exists a uniform function describing the OP temperature variation. The renormalization procedure, eliminating the local atomic variables and replacing them by the phenomenological order parameter components, is accompanied by the nonlinear temperature scaling transformation. Rescaled temperature is an essential thermodynamic variational parameter of the Landau theory with respect to which mean-field critical exponents are predicted unambiguously while the corresponding experimental values are obtained in the real-temperature scale. The general form of such transformation is found to be the elliptic integral of the first kind.

Acknowledgements

The article is dedicated to 75th birth anniversary of Professor Yuri Gufan who guided the author through the world of phase transitions. Drs I. Lebedyuk and A. Dmitriev are warmly acknowledged for their assistance in calculations. Prof W. Figueiredo and Dr E. Katz are acknowledged for helpful discussions. The author is grateful to Dr. V. I. Yukalov who attracted his attention to more general aspects of the problem.

References